

Meeting Minutes Transmittal

**T Plant Complex, Low Level Burial Grounds,
Central Waste Complex, Waste Receiving and Processing
Project Managers Meeting
825 Jadwin / Room 540S
Richland, Washington**

January 22, 2015

The undersigned indicate by their signatures that these meeting minutes reflect the actual occurrences of the above dated Project Managers Meeting. Signatures denote concurrence with the content only and are not intended to imply agreement to any commitments.


Project Manager Representative, Ecology

Date: 3/12/2015


Project Manager Representative, RL

Date: 26 Feb 15

Central Waste Complex Admin Record	H6-08
LLBG Administrative Record	H6-08
T Plant Complex Admin Record	H6-08
Waste Receiving and Processing Admin Record	H6-08
JV Borghese	H8-43
MS Collins	A6-38
BJ Dixon	T4-09
PE Eberlein	H0-57
LC Fearon	H0-57
RH Engelmann	H8-45
SK Johansen	T1-41
SS Lowe	H0-57
PW Martin	H8-45
LC Petersen	T4-06
DG Singleton	H0-57
LC Tuott	H8-43

T PLANT/LLBG/CWC/WRAP
Project Managers Meeting Minutes
825 Jadwin/Room 540S/700 Area
Richland, Washington

January 22, 2015

- I. The September 25, 2014, and October 30, 2014, Project Managers Meeting (PMM) Minutes were approved by RL and Ecology representatives and submitted to the Administrative Record (AR). The December 4, 2014, PMM minutes are pending review and approval by RL and Ecology representatives and will be submitted to the AR on approval. Deborah Singleton (Ecology) introduced John Temple (Ecology) as the lead permit writer for Low Level Burial Grounds trenches 31, 34 and 94.

II. Operational Status

Stephanie Johansen (CHPRC) provided the operational status (see handout). Ms. Johansen noted that the daily inspection of Box 231ZDR-11 has been discontinued since it was overpacked into a shippable configuration. Box 231ZDR-11 is now included as part of the regular RCRA weekly inspection. Steve Lowe (Ecology) asked about the location of the 231ZDR-11 overpack. Mike Collins (RL) responded that it is located slightly south of its original location. Mr. Lowe inquired about a radiological control technician (RCT) survey at the area where the box was stored. Mr. Collins responded that he had not heard what the results were from the RCT report, and a copy of the report will be provided to Ecology.

Mr. Lowe inquired about the final determination of the container that was suspected of leaking and the ensuing compliance inspection on December 9, 2014. Mr. Collins responded that the initial determination was that it was condensation since there were no results from the industrial hygiene organic sniffs and no radiological contamination was found. Mr. Collins stated that after a hole was discovered in the bottom of the overpack, the decision was made to apply RCRA codes from the inner container to the overpack. Ms. Johansen stated that the drum was overpacked, and the waste was managed that was generated as a result of the leak and overpacking activities. Mr. Lowe asked about a pH result that came back neutral. Mr. Collins responded that there wasn't enough to do a sample, and there was just enough to do the pH strip. Ms. Singleton stated that when she received the notification about the potential leak from Wayne Toebe (CHPRC), she asked Mr. Toebe about notifying Ecology in Yakima. Ms. Singleton stated that Mr. Toebe cited a section of the Agreed Order (AO) that indicates notification is not needed if there is no suspected threat to human health and the environment. Mr. Collins stated that after the 15-day report was issued, a letter was received from Ecology requesting that RL report what was found. Mr. Collins noted that its response to Ecology did not contain any new information since it could not be determined what the substance was because there was nothing to sample.

Ms. Singleton asked if (Ecology) compliance indicated there was an issue with RL's notification. Mr. Collins responded that there was an (Ecology) issue with the information in the 15-day report, and he offered to provide Ecology RL's letter and the response to the letter. Ms. Johansen stated that when compliance conducted an inspection on the site, several questions were asked about the notification process, and the information was provided to compliance. Ms. Singleton stated that compliance had indicated there was a concern regarding not making immediate notification to Yakima. Jennie Seaver (CHPRC) noted that the letter number from compliance was 15-NWP-001. Mr. Lowe asked Ms. Seaver what information was requested in the letter. Ms. Seaver responded that the letter requested that RL provide the name of the material involved, the quantity disposed of after cleanup, and the verified cause of the incident.

Ms. Singleton asked where the waste was coming from that is going into the Low Level Burial Grounds (LLBG). Mr. Collins responded that it's likely the waste was coming from Permafix Northwest. Ms. Johansen added that even though it states LLBG is continuing receipt of waste shipments, it's possible that a waste shipment was not received during the month of December 2014. Mr. Lowe stated that LLBG is available to receive waste, and Ms. Johansen concurred. Mr. Lowe added that it could be waste treated to meet Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR) requirements that is coming back from Permafix Northwest. Mr. Collins responded that 99 percent of the time it is a Permafix Northwest waste shipment coming back to LLBG, although occasionally a shipment comes from PNNL. Ms. Singleton requested an action to schedule a time for Mr. Temple to tour the burial grounds and have an overall discussion on the leachate system associated with trenches 31, 34 and 94. Mr. Lowe stated an interest in hearing about what the leachate is analyzed for and who sees the information in an effort to ensure that the solid waste team is looking at the results.

III. Status of Previous Agreements and Commitments

- A. There were no previous agreements or commitments to discuss.

IV. New Agreements and Commitments

- A. There were no new agreements or commitments established.

V. Near Term Schedules and Ongoing Activities

A. Agreed Order – Implementation

Rick Engelmann (CHPRC) noted that the overpacking of Box 231ZDR-11 was discussed under operational status. Mr. Collins stated that the one-year Agreed Order (AO) status report and the 231ZDR-11 completion letter were sent to Ecology at the end of last week, and those documents will be submitted to the AR.

B. Hanford Facility RCRA Permit Rev. 9 Update

Ms. Singleton initiated a discussion regarding the Tank D-10 Outside Storage Area, and asked if RL was planning to reclassify the dangerous waste management unit as a closure unit. Mr. Collins indicated that the plan is to make the Tank D-10 Outside Storage Area a closure unit. Ms. Singleton asked if RL/CHPRC were in the process of generating a closure plan for the Tank D-10 Outside Storage Area. Ms. Johansen responded that a discussion was needed with Ecology about how to proceed administratively because a permit modification request has been submitted for the other units, and RL/CHPRC do not want to prepare another closure plan using the previous format and content since the other closure plans have not been approved. Ms. Singleton stated that her team is stepping back to finalize the Part A's associated with the closure plans, which is why approval of the closure plans has been delayed. Ms. Singleton noted that RL/CHPRC submitted the Part A's with the closure plans, even though the Consent Agreement and Final Order (CAFO) did not require submittal of the Part A's. Ms. Johansen stated that discussion is needed with Ecology to answer questions about whether to submit a separate permit mod for the Tank D-10 Outside Storage Area or to capture it as part of the AO permit mod to update all of the Part B documentation, or if there is another appropriate way to handle it. Ms. Johansen stated that a Part A update will need to be submitted for the Tank D-10 Outside Storage Area since it is going from an operating unit to a closure unit, and it would be easier to update the Part A after Ecology approves the Part A that has been submitted. Ms. Singleton stated that when she has a conversation with compliance and EPA, she will relay that RL/CHPRC plans to reclassify the tank D- 10 outdoor storage area as a closure unit, and the logistics for submitting the accompanying closure plan and Part A update will need to be agreed upon. Ms. Johansen concurred with Ms. Singleton's statement.

Ms. Seaver stated that with regard to the closure plans, the language in the CAFO states that a compliant permit modification for the closure units is to be submitted, and a determination was made that a revised Part A needed to be submitted in order to have a compliant permit mod and meet the exact language of the CAFO. Mr. Lowe noted that without the Part A, the Tank D-10 Outside Storage Area tank area is not listed anywhere. Ms. Singleton agreed, adding that it's listed as an outdoor storage area. Mr. Lowe indicated that the Ecology team should be able to get the Part A's finalized, and the open item is to determine the capacity.

C. 8C Updates, Closure Plans, Part B Application

Ms. Singleton initiated a discussion regarding units that have not received waste in the last year, and directed her discussion to the Solid Waste Operations Complex (SWOC) units. Ms. Singleton stated that the units that have not received or managed waste for a year are required to submit notification under Section 610 of the regulations that those units are going to be closed. Ms. Singleton noted that RL is not planning to close those units, and that information needs to be submitted to Ecology. Ms. Johansen responded that Ms. Singleton brought up the subject during the last PMM, and RL/CHPRC are following up on the issue. Mr. Engelmann clarified that Ms. Singleton was referring to areas without any mixed waste currently being stored, and Ms. Singleton concurred.

D. Conceptual Agreement Packages

Mr. Lowe stated that there are draft CAPs for almost every addendum, and initiated a discussion about the parties proceeding on the basis of the draft CAPs and moving forward on the 8C permit modifications. Ms. Johansen stated that RL/CHPRC have been working on the 8C permit mods for almost a year and will be finished with the work before Ecology provides the CAPs, unless the CAPs are provided in the near future. Ms. Johansen noted that when the permitting plan first came out, the CAPs were supposed to be received in February of 2014, and CHPRC staffed accordingly. Mr. Collins stated that if the draft CAPs were 90 percent complete, that might be helpful, but not if they were only 50 percent complete. Ms. Johansen stated that during a meeting earlier this week, Kelly Elsethagen (Ecology) indicated that the intent was to incorporate the CAP concepts into all of the 8C changes, but in some cases it may not be possible to do that until Rev. 9. Ms. Johansen added that if that is the case, the parties should probably be moving forward with 8C. Ms. Singleton stated that Ms. Elsethagen had indicated most of the draft CAPs are 85 to 90 percent done and that Ms. Elsethagen's expectation is to use the draft CAPs to work on 8C. Ms. Singleton noted that the Part A CAP is nearly done, and the plan is to use the draft CAP to finalize the Part A's for each of the closure units, and then move to closure without waiting for the final CAPs. Ms. Singleton added that she is waiting for Ms. Elsethagen to send an email directing the parties to move forward with the draft CAPs for 8C, and when she receives the email she will share it with RL/CHPRC.

Mr. Collins stated that from a project standpoint, moving forward with draft CAPs would be acceptable, but from a legal standpoint there may be a question from RL and/or Ecology. Mr. Collins suggested discussing the use of draft CAPS with legal before moving forward. Mr. Lowe noted that the AO has language about providing a permit mod request in 12 months after receipt of the CAPS, and asked if that would apply if draft CAPS are used. Ms. Seaver stated that when Suzanne Dahl (Ecology) provides a status of the CAPS, she has referred to the language in the AO in terms of the CAPs. Ms. Seaver added that Ms. Dahl has indicated that Ecology is still proceeding with CAP workshops and that draft CAPs would not be provided to RL/CHPRC.

E. Sludge Storage at T Plant

Ms. Johansen stated that a meeting is scheduled with Ecology today at 1:00, and the CHPRC technical team will be addressing questions that have been raised regarding the logistics of sludge transport and storage, and at the end of the meeting the permitting approach for T Plant

will be provided. Ms. Singleton noted that Ecology's concern is with the permitting, and handling the sludge is EPA's concern since it is a TSCA waste. Ms. Singleton added that Ecology's concern is where in T Plant the sludge will be stored, what the impacts to the permit are, and if there will be any impacts to redefining any dangerous waste management unit. Ms. Johansen responded that CHPRC is prepared to discuss Ecology's concerns during the meeting later today.

Mr. Lowe asked if there are other missions for T Plant in the foreseeable future besides the storage of sludge. Mr. Collins responded that there are none in the next two or three years, but in the next five years there will probably be a mission. Mr. Lowe commented on the large size of T Plant and the effort required, including getting the canyon crane operable, and the funding needed to implement sludge storage. Mr. Collins responded that he has been in contact with the cost estimate team to remind them that there are costs beyond base operations for storing the sludge, and he estimated the cost would be about \$4 million extra per year. Mr. Collins added that there are a couple of possible scenarios that could require the use of T Plant in the future. One scenario would be the receipt of funding that would result in shipments of waste that would exceed Permafix Northwest's license limits, and T Plant would provide an outlet for temporary storage of the waste. Another scenario would involve repackaging of TRU waste that Permafix could not accept since T Plant has more flexibility with its nuclear safety. Ms. Singleton asked if that would include the remote handled (RH) and large container TRU waste. Mr. Collins responded that as the waste gets more RH, it would be more problematic. Mr. Collins noted that when the NLOP sludge treatment was being done at T Plant, the drums were kept at 160 millirem per hour at contact, which is not a lot different from 200 millirem, and that 205, 210 to 220 millirem could be dealt with by having extra safeguards to protect the workers.

VI. Approved Changes signed off in Accordance with TPA Section 12.2

A. There were no approved changes to sign.

VII. General Discussion

A. There were no topics for general discussion.

VIII. Actions

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date
CWC	RL will schedule a meeting with Ecology by the end of September 2014 to discuss disposition of tank D-10.	New Action	6/26/14
		Mr. Collins (RL) will schedule the meeting regarding tank D-10. Ms. Singleton (Ecology) stated that EPA needs to be involved in the discussion.	7/24/14
		Mr. Collins will schedule the meeting to be held before the December 4, 2014, PMM.	9/25/14
		Mr. Collins sent Ecology information regarding tank D-10 in lieu of scheduling a meeting. Ecology will notify Mr. Collins if the information is satisfactory or if a meeting needs to be scheduled.	10/30/14
		Ecology is still evaluating the information that was provided.	12/4/14

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date
		Ecology is still evaluating the information.	1/22/15
LLBG	RL to schedule a tour of trenches 31/34/94 for Ecology	New Action	1/22/15
CWC	Provide Ecology results of surveys done under Box 231ZDR-11	New Action	1/22/15

- IX. Documents for Submittal to the Administrative Record.
- A. The one-year AO status report and the 231ZDR-11 completion letter were submitted to the AR. There were no other documents identified for submittal.
- X. Next Project Managers Meeting
- A. The next PMM was scheduled for February 26, 2015.

Badbada, P S (Sharon)

From: Collins, Michael S
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2015 8:53 AM
To: Singleton, Deborah
Cc: Lowe, Steven ECYWAGOV; Badbada, P S (Sharon)
Subject: 12-09-15 - CWC Release Event
Attachments: SMFM0765515012309440.pdf; SMFM0765515012309441.pdf

Hi Deborah – Per yesterday's PMM discussion, attached is Ecology's request for supplemental information to the 15-day report and DOE's response.



STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

3100 Port of Benton Blvd • Richland, WA 99354 • (509) 372-7950

January 6, 2015

15-NWP-001

Ms. Stacy Charboneau, Manager
Richland Operations Office
United States Department of Energy
PO Box 550, MSIN: A7-50
Richland, Washington 99352

Mr. John A. Ciucci, President and CEO
CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company
PO Box 1600, MSIN: H7-30
Richland, Washington 99352

Re: In Response to United States Department of Energy – Richland Operations Office's Letter
15-AMRP-0044, Dated December 16, 2014, "15-Day Report for the December 9, 2014
Discovery of Liquid Near Waste Containers at the Central Waste Complex"
RCRA Site ID: WA7890008967

Dear Ms. Charboneau and Mr. Ciucci:

The 15-Day Report provided to Ecology on December 17, 2014 is missing report information as required by Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-303-360(2)(k). Specifically, WAC 173-303-360(2)(k)(iv) name of the material(s) involved, (vii) estimated quantity and disposition of recovered material(s) that resulted from the incident, and (viii) cause of the incident that was provided is insufficient and lacking.

The report only describes what was not detected in the material, the quantity discovered and not the quantity of disposed material after cleanup, and assumes condensation as the cause of the leak, without verification.

Provide Ecology the name of the material involved, the quantity disposed of after cleanup, and the verified cause of the incident as required by WAC 173-303-360(2)(k)(iv), (vii), and (viii) within 10 days of receipt of this letter.

If you have questions regarding this letter, please contact me at edward.holbrook@ecy.wa.gov or (509) 372-7909.

Sincerely,

Edward Holbrook
Hazardous Waste Inspector
Nuclear Waste Program

tkb

By certified mail

cc: See page 2

RECEIVED

JAN 06 2015

DOE-RLCC



Ms. Charboneau and Mr. Ciucci
January 6, 2015
Page 2

15-NWP-001

cc electronic:

Dennis Faulk, EPA
Jack Boller, EPA
Kevin Schanilec, EPA
Al Farabee, USDOE
Mike S. Collins, USDOE
Stuart Mortensen, CHPRC
Joel Williams, CHPRC
Ken Niles, ODOE
Edward Holbrook, Ecology
John Price, Ecology
Deborah Singleton, Ecology
CHPRC Correspondence Control
USDOE-RL Correspondence Control
Environmental Portal
Hanford Facility Operating Record

cc: Stuart Harris, CTUIR
Gabriel Bohnce, NPT
Russell Jim, YN
Steve Hudson, HAB
Administrative Record: Central Waste Complex
NWP Central File
NWP Compliance Index File: 14.512
NWP Reader File



Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office
P.O. Box 550
Richland, Washington 99352

15-AMRP-0059

JAN 14 2015

Ms. J. A. Hedges, Program Manager
Nuclear Waste Program
State of Washington
Department of Ecology
3100 Port of Benton Blvd.
Richland, Washington 99354

Dear Ms. Hedges:

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR THE 15-DAY REPORT FOR THE DECEMBER 9, 2014, DISCOVERY OF LIQUID NEAR WASTE CONTAINERS AT THE CENTRAL WASTE COMPLEX

In response to the Washington State Department of Ecology's (Ecology) letter 15-NWP-001, dated January 6, 2015, the following supplemental information is provided regarding the subject event. As noted in the U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office's (RL) letter 15-AMRP-0044, dated December 16, 2014, transmitting the 15-day report, the incident did not constitute an emergency nor was there a release to the environment. Nevertheless, notifications were made, the contingency plan implemented, and the 15-day report submitted in the spirit of the Agreed Order and communication with Ecology.

Although the 15-day report satisfies the provisions of WAC 173-303-360(2)(k), timely reporting may require the use of preliminary information. The information in this letter and the information provided in previous requests from Ecology are thus provided to supplement and update the 15-day report.

Name of the Material Involved: Low Level Mixed Waste. As noted in the 15-day report, the material involved appears to have come from overpack Container #9600342. The inner drum contains debris from a waste stream with characteristic and listed waste codes. Since it is possible that the liquid contacted listed waste, the material involved has been declared low level mixed waste. Container #9600342 has been overpacked into a 100 gallon drum. The pallet that Container #9600342 was on, has been cut up and placed into another waste container.

Estimated Quantity and Disposition of Recovered Material: No useable material was recovered. (See definition of 'recover' in WAC-173-303-040.)

Cause of the Incident: The direct cause of the leak was visually verified to be drum corrosion. The corrective action identified in the 15-day report addresses the direct cause of the incident.


Ms. J. A. Hedges
15-AMRP-0059

-2-

JAN 14 2015

If you have any questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Al Farabee, of my staff, on (509) 376-8089.

Sincerely,



Ray J. Corey, Assistant Manager
for the River and Plateau

AMRP:MSC

cc: D. B. Bartus, EPA
L. T. Blackford, CHPRC
G. Bohnie, NPT
J. L. Boller, EPA
J. V. Borghese, CHPRC
R. Buck, Wanapum
A. E. Cawrse, CHPRC
S. L. Dahl-Crumpler, Ecology
B. J. Dixon, CHPRC
D. A. Faulk, EPA
S. Harris, CTUIR
E. Holbrook, Ecology
S. Hudson, HAB
M. N. Jaraysi, CHPRC
R. Jim, YN
N. M. Menard, Ecology
K. Niles, ODOE
C. P. Noonan, MSA
R. E. Piippo, MSA
J. B. Price, Ecology
A. J. Ramirez, CHPRC
D. Rowland, YN
J. R. Seaver, CHPRC
C. J. Simiele, CHPRC
D. G. Singleton, Ecology
E. R. Skinnarland, Ecology
M. J. Turner, MSA
Administrative Record
Ecology NWP Library
Environmental Portal
HF Operation Record (J. K. Perry, MSA, A3-01)

Waste Disposition Performance

Facility	Status
CWC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performing waste storage activities • Cancelled daily inspection of Box 231ZDR-11 after overpacking (now weekly RCRA inspection) • Updating procedures to reflect AO requirements is ongoing • Mining, segregating, and overpacking watch list drums is ongoing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All Priority 1 drums have been overpacked • Continuing to receive waste from onsite and offsite generators • Completed roof repairs for 2403-WA, 2403-WB, 2403-WC, and 2403-WD • Continue to track new roof repair needs in RCRA open item list.
LLBG	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continuing receipt of waste shipments at Trench 31/34. • Continuing leachate collection & removal system operation at Trenches 31 & 34. • Continuing LLBG housekeeping activities*
WRAP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completed roof repairs for 2336W & 2404-WB • Continue to track new roof repair needs in WRAP turnover checklist • Continuing surveillance and maintenance activities. • Continuing floor maintenance activities at 2404-WB when resources & weather permit. No mixed waste containers with free liquids will be stored in this building without replacement secondary containment until the floor is repaired.
T Plant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continuing surveillance and maintenance activities.
* Housekeeping in LLBGs includes tumbleweed monitoring & removal, control of vegetation growth, contamination control activities	

RCRA-Regulated Container Inventory

of Containers and Volume

as of 1-19-2015

Facility	Drum/Small Container ¹	Medium Container/Box ²	Large Container ³	Total Volume
CWC (Dec)	5491 (1327 m ³)	475 (815m ³)	384 (6684 m ³)	8,826 m ³
CWC (Jan)	5494 (1327 m ³)	475 (815m ³)	383 (6640 m ³)	8,782 m ³
T-Plant (Dec)	5 (1 m ³)	3 (4 m ³)	2 (44 m ³)	49 m ³
T-Plant (Jan)	4 (1 m ³)	3 (4 m ³)	2 (44 m ³)	49 m ³
WRAP (Dec)	6 (1 m ³)	0 (0 m ³)	0 (0 m ³)	1 m ³
WRAP (Jan)	5 (1 m ³)	0 (0 m ³)	0 (0 m ³)	1 m ³

Footnotes regarding volumes:

1. 0.485 m³ (110 gallons; 17.1 ft³) or less
2. Greater than 0.485 m³ (110 gallons; 17.1 ft³) & less than 1.812 m³ (64ft³) (Standard Waste Box)
3. Greater than 1.812 m³

Waste Package Inventory in CWC Outside Storage Areas

CWC DWMU ^[1]	Number of Waste Packages in storage (1/24/2014)	Number of Waste Packages in storage (12/2/2014)	Number of Waste Packages in storage (1/19/2015)	Notes/Comments in Inventory Change from Previous Month
Outside Storage Area A	173	165 + 2	164 + 2	One container shipped to PFNW on 12-18-2014. Two containers of waste generated from box 231ZDR11 activities; these containers will be removed after Box 11 activities are completed
Outside Storage Area B	11	11	11	
Tank D-10 Outside Storage Area	1	1	1	
East Outside Storage Area	0	0	0	
Shipping and Receiving Area	0	0	0	
Total =	185	177 + 2	176 + 2	

[1] DWMUs are based on those listed in the Agreed Order, exhibit B.

ATTENDEES

NAME	PHONE #	CO.
Rick Engelman	376-7485	CHPRC
Kathy Knay	946-5535	Knay Court Reporting
Stephanie Johansen	373-1031	CHPRC
Michael Turner	376-2872	MSA/TPA
Michael Collins	376 6536	DOE
Steven Lowe	372-7894	Ecology
Deborah Singleton	372 7923	Ecology
John Temple	372-7940	Ecology
Elis Eberlein	372-7906	Ecology
Al Cawrse	376-3143	CHPRC
Jane Borghese	373-3809	CHPRC
P. Sharon Bradburn	373-9792	CHPRC
J. Seavers (via phone)		CHPRC

T PLANT, LLBG, WRAP, AND CWC PROJECT MEETING

825 Jadwin / Room 540S

Hanford, Washington

January 22, 2014

10:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.

Agenda

- I. The September 25, 2014, and the October 30, 2014, Project Managers Meeting (PMM) minutes were approved by RL and Ecology representatives and submitted to the Administrative Record (AR). The December 4, 2014, PMM minutes are pending review and approval by RL and Ecology representatives and will be submitted to the AR on approval.
- II. Operational Status
- III. Status of Previous Agreements and Commitments
- IV. New Agreements and Commitments
- V. Near Term Schedules and Ongoing Activities
 - A. Agreed Order - Implementation
 - B. HF RCRA Permit Rev. 9 Update
 - C. 8C updates, closure plans, Part B application
 - D. Conceptual Agreement Packages
 - E. Sludge Storage at T Plant
- VI. Approved Changes Signed Off in Accordance with TPA Section 12.2
- VII. General Discussion
- VIII. Actions

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date
CWC	RL will schedule a meeting with Ecology by the end of September 2014 to discuss disposition of tank D-10.	New Action	6/26/14
		Mr. Collins (RL) will schedule the meeting regarding tank D-10. Ms. Singleton (Ecology) stated that EPA needs to be involved in the discussion.	7/24/14
		Mr. Collins will schedule the meeting to be held before the October 30, 2014, PMM.	9/25/14
		Mr. Collins sent Ecology information regarding tank D-10 in lieu of scheduling a meeting. Ecology will notify Mr. Collins if the information is satisfactory or if a meeting needs to be scheduled.	10/30/14
		Ecology is still evaluating the information that was provided.	12/4/14

- IX. Documents for Submittal to the Administrative Record
- X. Next Project Managers Meeting

FEB 26